

Reply to Comment on: “Radiation-Induced ‘Zero-Resistance State’ and the Photon Assisted Transport”

Junren Shi¹ and X.C. Xie^{2,3}

¹*Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831*

²*Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078*

³*International Center for Quantum Structures, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China*

We show that the comment by A.F. Volkov [1] ignores a delicate issue in the conductance measurement for a hall bar system. In such system, $\rho_{xx} \approx \rho_{xy}^2 \sigma_{xx}$ while $\sigma_{xy} \gg \sigma_{xx}$, as correctly pointed out in Ref. 3. We clarify that the so called “zero resistance state” is actually a “zero conductance state”. A discussion concerning the phase transition induced by the negative conductance is presented.

In a comment [1] to our paper titled “Radiation-Induced ‘Zero-Resistance State’ and the Photon Assisted Transport”, [2] Volkov concludes that our work as well as the calculation in Ref. 3 can only produce the zero conductance instead of zero resistance. This conclusion is certainly true for a normal transport measurement without the presence of a magnetic field, where $\rho_{xx} = 1/\sigma_{xx}$. However, in the specific experimental setup we are talking about, namely, a hall bar system, [4, 5, 6] the relation between conductance and resistance is delicate albeit well known:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{xx} &= \frac{\sigma_{xx}}{\sigma_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xy}^2}, \\ \rho_{xy} &= \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_{xx}^2 + \sigma_{xy}^2}.\end{aligned}$$

As correctly pointed out in Ref. 3, those experiments lie in regime with $\sigma_{xy} \gg \sigma_{xx}$. This yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{xx} &\approx \rho_{xy}^2 \sigma_{xx}, \\ \rho_{xy} &\approx 1/\sigma_{xy}.\end{aligned}$$

As the result, the measurement of longitudinal conductance is equivalent to the measurement of longitudinal resistance. Our theory actually suggest that the so called “zero resistance state” is actually a “zero conductance state”. This has important implication for a general non-hall bar system, *i.e.*, we can only see radiation induced “zero conductance” instead of “zero resistance”.

When system enters into negative conductance (or negative resistance for the S-shape CVC) regime, the instability will induce a phase transition and the system will be stabilized in a new phase. It is very important to study the detailed structure of such a new phase. On the other hand, we stress that these are two separate issues: (a) the origin of negative conductance (resistance); and (b) the structure of new phase induced by the instability. These two issues are determined by different

sets of parameters. It becomes clear in our toy model: [2] while the occurrence of negative conductance is fully determined by the radiation power and electron density of states, the determination of new voltage domain structure (see Fig. 4 in Ref. 2) requires more parameters such as barrier thickness, lead configurations, dielectric constants, etc. It suggests that the structure of new phase will be very sensitive to the special setup of systems. So it will not be a surprise to find more exotic phases other than those discussed in current stage of theoretical studies [7, 8] and mentioned in the comment. [1]

Finally, we point out that while the transport anomaly is well known in artificial systems, [9] the observation of similar effect in a uniform system is still very interesting and striking. Especially, the phase transition induced by the negative conductance instability in such a uniform system is far from fully understood. We conclude that the phase transition induced by the negative conductance and the structure of resulting phase are the leading issues requiring more theoretical and experimental pursuits.

-
- [1] A.F. Volkov, preprint, cond-mat/0302615.
 - [2] Junren Shi, X.C. Xie, preprint, cond-mat/0302393.
 - [3] A.C. Durst, S. Sachdev, N. Read, S.M. Girvin, preprint, cond-mat/0301569.
 - [4] R.G. Mani, J.H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, V. Narayanamurti, W.B. Johnson, V. Umansky, *Nature*, **420**, 646 (2002).
 - [5] M.A. Zudov, R.R. Du, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 046807 (2003).
 - [6] M.A. Zudov, R.R. Du, J.A. Simmons, J.L. Reno, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, R201311 (2001).
 - [7] A.V. Andreev, I.L. Aleiner, A.J. Millis, preprint, cond-mat/0302063.
 - [8] P.W. Anderson, W.F. Brinkman, preprint, cond-mat/0302129.
 - [9] B.J. Keay *et. al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4102 (1995).